

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/830,118	WILLIAMS ET AL.	
	Examiner Devin Hanan	Art Unit 3745	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Devin Hanan.

(3) _____.

(2) Stephen Catlin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2006

Time: 10 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 rejection

Claims discussed:

claims 1, 3 and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Thorp (U.S. Patent 2,642,263)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Adding allowable subject matter into independent claims. See examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)